MINING PATTERNS FROM GENETIC IMPROVEMENT EXPERIMENTS GI@ICSE 2019 - MONTREAL - MAY 28TH 2019 1

Oliver Krauss (presenting), Hanspeter Mössenböck, Michael Affenzeller

ABSTRACT

- GI runs produce and evaluate many individuals
- Mine this information to find:
 - anti-patterns restrict search space
 - optimization-patterns use in grafting operators

ENABLING DATA FOR MINING

- Represent code as Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)
 should be fine granular
- Log **evaluations** (performance, successful executions, ...)
- Log **relationships** between individuals (crossover, mutation...)



Figure: Newtonian approximation (sqare root) as AST

Accuracy Performance Energy Experiment Solution Problem TestResults OptimizedAST AST TestCases

Figure: Data model for pattern mining

FREQUENT PATTERN MINING (FPM)

- Finds largest fequently recurring subgraphs
- Does not handle significance
 - currently manual
 - future statistics or observed/expected frequency
- SOTA -> SLEUTH 2

MINING OPTIMIZATION / ANTI PATTERNS

- Set found patterns into **context**
 - In/output datatypes
 - Problem domain
 - Similar behaviour in fitness (energy, ...)
- FPM in solution space
- FPM in problem space
- See if any found patterns **correlate**

OPTIMIZATION PATTERS

Goal: find source code that can be replaced by something better

- Search solution space with high quality for optimization-patterns (ex. performance)
- Search original ASTs of found patterns for *unoptimized-patterns*



Figure: Optimization pattern (left unoptimized, right optimized)

ANTI PATTERNS

Goal: find patterns that negatively influence solutions

- Can also be used to reduce the search space
- Search solution space with low quality for *antipatterns*
- Optional: Search original ASTs to find out if antipatterns match *specific domains*

GENERIFYING PATTERNS BY HIERARCHY

- GI is done with Truffle 3 and Graal
- Operators have a *class hierarchy*



Figure: Truffle node class hierarchy



raise hierarchy of literals:



Figure: Finding larger patterns by hierarchy

GENERIFYING PATTERNS BY WILDCARDS (FUTURE WORK)

- Combine smaller patterns with "*bridges*"
- * = 0..*
- . = 1..1
- ? = 0..1

CONCLUSION

- Successfully used in biasing operators
- Still early stage
- Currently restricted to trees, due to SLEUTH

OUTLOOK

- Empirical study for energy consumption patterns
 planned @GPCE 2019
- Extending wildcard patterns
- Your feedback here

QUESTIONS?

CONTACT



Oliver Krauss

oliver.krauss@fh-hagenberg.at

+43 (0)50804-27195

REFERENCES

1: O. Krauss, H. Mössenböck, M. Affenzeller, 2019 *Mining Patterns from Genetic Improvement Experiments*, 6th International Workshop on Genetic Improvement, May 2019. last accessed 19.05.2019

2: M. J. Zaki, 2005 *Efficiently mining frequent embedded unordered trees*, Fundamenta Informaticae, vol. 66, no. 1-2, pp. 33–52, Mar. 2005, special issue on Advances in Mining Graphs, Trees and Sequences. last accessed 19.05.2019 3: C. Wimmer, T. Würhtinger, 2012 Truffle: A Selfoptimizing Runtime System. In Proceedings of the 3rd Annual Conference on Systems, Programming, and Applications: Software for Humanity (SPLASH '12). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 13–14. last acessed: 19.05.2019